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## Overview of the Course

- The Basics: What, Why and How?
- Inference: Wald and Rank Tests
- Lab Session
- Computation: From the Inside and Outside
- Nonparametric QR
- QR Survival Analysis
- Lab Session
- Quantile Autoregression
- Risk Assessment and Choquet Portfolios
- QR for Longitudinal Data
- Endogoneity and IV Methods


## The Basics: What, Why and How?

(1) Univariate Quantiles
(2) Scatterplot Smoothing
(3) Equivariance Properties
(9) Quantile Treatment Effects

## Univariate Quantiles

Given a real-valued random variable, $X$, with distribution function $F$, we will define the $\tau$ th quantile of $X$ as

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{x}(\tau)=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}^{-1}(\tau)=\inf \{x \mid \mathrm{F}(x) \geqslant \tau\}
$$

This definition follows the usual convention that $F$ is CADLAG, and $Q$ is CAGLAD as illustrated in the following pair of pictures.
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Q's are CAGLAD


## Univariate Quantiles

Viewed from the perspective of densities, the $\tau$ th quantile splits the area under the density into two parts: one with area $\tau$ below the $\tau$ th quantile and the other with area $1-\tau$ above it:


## Two Bits Worth of Convex Analysis

A convex function $\rho$ and its subgradient $\psi$ :



The subgradient of a convex function $f(u)$ at a point $u$ consists of all the possible "tangents." Sums of convex functions are convex.

## Population Quantiles as Optimizers

Quantiles solve a simple optimization problem:

$$
\hat{\alpha}(\tau)=\operatorname{argmin} \mathbb{E} \rho_{\tau}(Y-\alpha)
$$

Proof: Let $\psi_{\tau}(u)=\rho_{\tau}^{\prime}(u)$, so differentiating wrt to $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{\tau}(y-\alpha) d F(y) \\
& =(\tau-1) \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha} d F(y)+\tau \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} d F(y) \\
& =(\tau-1) F(\alpha)+\tau(1-F(\alpha))
\end{aligned}
$$

implying $\tau=F(\alpha)$ and thus $\hat{\alpha}=F^{-1}(\tau)$.

## Sample Quantiles as Optimizers

For sample quantiles replace $F$ by $\hat{F}$, the empirical distribution function. The objective function becomes a polyhedral convex function whose derivative is monotone decreasing, in effect we are simply counting observations above and below and weighting the sums by $\tau$ and $1-\tau$.
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Similarly, the unconditional $\tau$ th quantile solves

$$
\alpha_{\tau}=\operatorname{argmin}_{a} \mathbb{E} \rho_{\tau}(Y-a)
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and the conditional $\tau$ th quantile solves

$$
\alpha_{\tau}(x)=\operatorname{argmin}_{a} \mathbb{E}_{Y \mid X=x} \rho_{\tau}(Y-a(X))
$$

## Computation of Linear Regression Quantiles

Primal Formulation as a linear program, split the residual vector into positive and negative parts and sum with appropriate weights:

$$
\min \left\{\tau 1^{\top} u+(1-\tau) 1^{\top} v \mid y=X b+u-v,(b, u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^{p} \times \mathbf{R}_{+}^{2 n}\right\}
$$

Dual Formulation as a Linear Program

$$
\max \left\{y^{\prime} d \mid X^{\top} d=(1-\tau) X^{\top} 1, d \in[0,1]^{n}\right\}
$$

Solutions are characterized by an exact fit to p observations.

## Quantile Regression: The Movie

- Bivariate linear model with iid Student t errors
- Conditional quantile functions are parallel in blue
- 100 observations indicated in blue
- Fitted quantile regression lines in red.
- Intervals for $\tau \in(0,1)$ for which the solution is optimal.


## Quantile Regression in the iid Error Model
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## Quantile Regression in the iid Error Model



## Virtual Quantile Regression II

- Bivariate quadratic model with Heteroscedastic $\chi^{2}$ errors
- Conditional quantile functions drawn in blue
- 100 observations indicated in blue
- Fitted quadratic quantile regression lines in red
- Intervals of optimality for $\tau \in(0,1)$.


## Quantile Regression in the Heteroscedastic Error Model
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## Conditional Means vs. Medians



Minimizing absolute errors for median regression can yield something quite different from the least squares fit for mean regression.

## Equivariance of Regression Quantiles
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## Equivariance to Monotone Transformations

For any monotone function $h$, conditional quantile functions $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\tau \mid x)$ are equivariant in the sense that

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{Y}) \mid X}(\tau \mid x)=\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X}}(\tau \mid x)\right)
$$

In contrast to conditional mean functions for which, generally,

$$
E(h(Y) \mid X) \neq h(E Y \mid X)
$$

Examples:
$h(y)=\min \{0, y\}$, Powell's (1985) censored regression estimator. $h(y)=\operatorname{sgn}\{y\}$ Rosenblatt's (1957) perceptron, Manski's (1975) maximum score estimator. estimator.

## Beyond Average Treatment Effects

Lehmann (1974) proposed the following general model of treatment response:
"Suppose the treatment adds the amount $\Delta(x)$ when the response of the untreated subject would be x . Then the distribution G of the treatment responses is that of the random variable $\mathrm{X}+\Delta(\mathrm{X})$ where X is distributed according to F ."

## Lehmann QTE as a QQ-Plot

Doksum (1974) defines $\Delta(x)$ as the "horizontal distance" between $F$ and G at $x$, i.e.

$$
F(x)=G(x+\Delta(x))
$$

Then $\Delta(x)$ is uniquely defined as

$$
\Delta(x)=\mathrm{G}^{-1}(\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{x}))-\mathrm{x} .
$$

This is the essence of the conventional QQ-plot. Changing variables so $\tau=F(x)$ we have the quantile treatment effect (QTE):

$$
\delta(\tau)=\Delta\left(\mathrm{F}^{-1}(\tau)\right)=\mathrm{G}^{-1}(\tau)-\mathrm{F}^{-1}(\tau) .
$$

## Lehmann-Doksum QTE
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## An Asymmetric Example



Treatment shifts the distribution from right skewed to left skewed making the QTE U-shaped.

## The Erotic is Unidentified

The Lehmann QTE characterizes the difference in the marginal distributions, F and G, but it cannot reveal anything about the joint distribution, H. The copula function, Schweizer and Wolf (1981), Genest and McKay, (1986),

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{-1}(\mathrm{u}), \mathrm{G}^{-1}(v)\right)
$$

is not identified. Lehmann's formulation assumes that the treatment leaves the ranks of subjects invariant. If a subject was going to be the median control subject, then he will also be the median treatment subject. This is an inherent limitation of the Neymann-Rubin potential outcomes framework.

## QTE via Quantile Regression

The Lehmann QTE is naturally estimable by

$$
\hat{\delta}(\tau)=\hat{G}_{n}^{-1}(\tau)-\hat{F}_{m}^{-1}(\tau)
$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{m}}$ denote the empirical distribution functions of the treatment and control observations, Consider the quantile regression model

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{Y_{i}}\left(\tau \mid \mathrm{D}_{i}\right)=\alpha(\tau)+\delta(\tau) \mathrm{D}_{i}
$$

where $D_{i}$ denotes the treatment indicator, and $Y_{i}=h\left(T_{i}\right)$, e.g. $Y_{i}=\log T_{i}$, which can be estimated by solving,

$$
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{\tau}\left(y_{i}-\alpha-\delta D_{i}\right)
$$

## Francis Galton's (1885) Anthropometric Quantiles
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## ANTHROPOMETRIC PER-CENTILES

Values surpassed, and Values unreached, by various percentages of the persons measured at the Anthropometric Laboratory in the late International Health Exhibition
(The volue that i: unvached by $n$ per cent, of any large group of measurements, and surpass da by $100-n$ of thim, is called its $n$th perantile)

| Subject of measurement | Age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit of } \\ \text { measure- } \\ \text { ment } \end{gathered}$ | Sex | No. of persons group | 95 | 90 | 80 | Values surpassed by per.cents as below |  |  |  |  | 20 | 10 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  | 50 | 40 | 30 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Values unreached by percents, as below |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 95 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Height, standing, } \\ \text { without shoes... } \end{array}\right\}$ | 23-51 | Inches | M. | $\begin{aligned} & 811 \\ & 770 \end{aligned}$ | 63.2 | 64.5 | 65.8 | 66.5 | 673 | 67.9 | 68.5 | $69^{\circ} 2$ | $70 \%$ | 71.3 | ${ }^{72} 4$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 58.8 | 59.9 | $6{ }^{1} \cdot 3$ | 62.1 | $62^{\prime} 7$ | $63 \cdot 3$ | 63.9 | $64^{6}$ | 65.3 | 66.4 | 67'3 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Height,sitting, from } \\ \text { seat of chair } \end{array} . . .\right\}$ | 23-51 | Inches $\{$ | $\underset{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{M} .}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1013 \\ 775 \end{array}$ | 33.6 | 34.2 | 34.9 | 35.3 | 35.4 | $36^{\circ}$ | 36.3 | 36.7 | 37.1 | 37.7 | 38.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 31.8 | $32 \cdot 3$ | $3^{2-9}$ | 333 | $33^{\circ} 6$ | 33.9 | $34^{\circ} 2$ |  |  | $35 \cdot 6$ | 36.0 |
| Span of arms ... | 23-51 | Inches $\{$ | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{M} .}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 811 \\ & 770 \end{aligned}$ | $65^{\circ}$ | 66 I | $67 \cdot 2$ | 68.2 | $69^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | 69.9 | 70.6 | 714 | 723 | 73.6 | 74.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 58.6 | 59.5 | 60'7 | 617 | 62.4 | $63^{\circ}$ | $63 \cdot 7$ | $64^{\prime} 5$ | $65^{\prime} 4$ | 667 |  |
| Weight in ordinary indoor clothes. | 23-26 | Pounds $\{$ | $\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{~F}} .$ | 520276 | 121 | 125 | 131 | 135 | 139 | 143 | 147 | 150 | 156 | 165 | 172 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 102 | 105 | $1{ }_{1}$ | $1{ }^{1} 4$ | 118 | 122 | 129 | 132 | 136 | 142 | 149 |
| Breathing capacily | 23-26 | Cubic ${ }_{\text {inches }}($ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} . \\ & \mathrm{F} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 212 \\ & 277 \end{aligned}$ | 161 | 177 | 187 | 199 | 211 | 219 | 226 | 236 | 248 | 277 | 290 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 92 | 102 | 115 | 124 | 131 | 138 | 144 | 151 | 164 | 177 | 186 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Strength of pull as } \\ \text { archer with bow } \end{array}\right\}$ | 2326 | Pounds $\{$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} . \\ & \mathrm{F} . \end{aligned}$ | 519276 | 56 | 60 | 64 | 68 | 71 | 74 | 77 | 88 | 82 | 89 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 47 | 51 | 54 |
| Strength ofsqueeze with strongest hand \} | 23-26 | Pounds $\{$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M} . \\ \mathrm{F} . \end{gathered}$ | 519 | 67 | 71 | 76 | 79 | 82 | 85 | 88 | 91 | 95 | 100 | 104 |
|  |  |  |  | 276 | 36 | 39 | 43 | 47 | 49 | 52 | 55 | 58 | 62 | 67 | 72 |
| Swiftness of blow. | 23-26 | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Feet per } \\ \text { second } \end{array}\right\}$ | M. | 516 | 13.2 | $14^{1 / 1}$ | $15^{\prime} 2$ | 16.2 | 17.3 | 18.1 | 19.1 | 20.0 | $20 \cdot 9$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | F. | 271 | $9^{\prime 2}$ | 101 | $11 \cdot 3$ | $12^{\prime} 1$ | 12.8 | 13.4 | 14\% | 14.5 | $15^{\prime \prime}$ | 16.3 | 16.9 |
|  | 23-26 | Inches | $\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{~F}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 398 \\ & 433 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 23 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 32 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 12 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 24 |  | 27 | 29 | 31 | 32 |

## Quantile Treatment Effects: Strength of Squeeze



## Quantile Treatment Effects: Strength of Squeeze


"Very powerful women exist, but happily perhaps for the repose of the other sex, such gifted women are rare."

## A Model of Infant Birthweight

- Reference: Abrevaya (2001), Koenker and Hallock (2001)
- Data: June, 1997, Detailed Natality Data of the US. Live, singleton births, with mothers recorded as either black or white, between 18-45, and residing in the U.S. Sample size: 198,377.
- Response: Infant Birthweight (in grams)
- Covariates:
- Mother's Education
- Mother's Prenatal Care
- Mother's Smoking
- Mother's Age
- Mother's Weight Gain


## Quantile Regression Birthweight Model I



## Quantile Regression Birthweight Model II

College
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Prenatal Second



Prenatal Third



## Motivation

> What the regression curve does is give a grand summary for the averages of the distributions corresponding to the set of of $x$ 's. We could go further and compute several different regression curves corresponding to the various percentage points of the distributions and thus get a more complete picture of the set.
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## Motivation

> What the regression curve does is give a grand summary for the averages of the distributions corresponding to the set of of $x$ 's. We could go further and compute several different regression curves corresponding to the various percentage points of the distributions and thus get a more complete picture of the set. Ordinarily this is not done, and so regression often gives a rather incomplete picture. Just as the mean gives an incomplete picture of a single distribution, so the regression curve gives a correspondingly incomplete picture for a set of distributions.

> Mosteller and Tukey (1977)

