University of Illinois Fall 1998 Department of Economics Roger Koenker

## Economics 472 Lecture 12

## Estimation of Systems of Simultaneous Equation Model

In this brief lecture we try to introduce estimation methods for simultaneous equation models which apply to the entire system rather than treating the models one equation at a time as we have done thus far with two stage least squares.

Consider the model,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Z}_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & Z_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_m \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ n_m \end{pmatrix}$$

which we will write simply aw

$$y = Z\delta + u$$

We will assume as in the SUR model that

$$Euu' = \Omega \otimes I_n$$

so there is contemporaneous correlation across equation errors, but each equation has a classical, spherical error structure. The model differs from SUR in that each  $Z_i$  has the structure

$$Z_i = [Y_i : X_i]$$

with the possible inclusion of endogenous variables  $Y_i$  in each equation. This obviously necessitates some form of instrumental variables estimation method in addition to the problem of dealing with the correlation introduced with  $\Omega$ .

To motivate the simultaneous treatment of both problems, let's consider how to deal with them separately. The SUR solution for the  $\Omega$  problem introduced a weighting matrix  $\Omega^{-1} \otimes I$  so if Z were orthogonal to u we could use

$$\hat{\delta}_{SUR} - (Z'(\Omega^{-1} \otimes I)Z)^{-1}Z'(\Omega^{-1} \otimes I)y.$$

On the other hand, suppose  $\Omega = \sigma^2 I$  then the 2SLS estimator for the whole system could be written as,

$$\delta_{2SLS} = (Z'(I \otimes P_X)Z)^{-1}Z'(I \otimes P_X)y$$

NOte that this is equivalent to doing m separate 2SLS estimations

$$\hat{\delta}_{2SLS}^{(i)} = (Z_i' P_X Z_i)^{-1} Z_i' P_X y_i \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Can we do both of these steps together? Yes, consider the following estimator,

$$\hat{\delta}_{3SLS} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\delta} \{ (y - Z\delta) (\Omega^{-1} \otimes P_X) (y - Z\delta) \}$$

One way to see how this works is to consider the construction of IV"s for the whole system of equations as

$$\begin{split} \tilde{Z} &= (\Omega^{-1} \otimes I_n) (I_m \otimes P_X) Z \\ &= (\Omega^{-1/2} \otimes I_n) \hat{Z} \\ \end{split}$$
where  $\hat{Z} &= (I_m \otimes P_X) Z.$ 

In effect, this transformation first creates predicted Z's using the instrument set X and then reweights the equations to get the  $\Omega$  effect.

Having seen how this works in estimating systems of equations, it is perhaps useful to go back and review how it is connected to the single equation theory. Recall that in the classical single equation setting

$$y = X\beta + u$$
 with  $Euu' = \Omega$ 

the GLS estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin} \{ (y - X\beta)' \Omega^{-1} (y - X\beta) \}$$
$$= (X' \Omega^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Omega^{-1} y$$

is optimal among linear unbiased estimator for general error distributions, and optimal among unbiased estimators for Gaussian errors. here, the  $\Omega^{-1}$  reweights the usual orthogonal projection of ordinary least squares to accommodate the nonspherical error structure.

In the case of two stage least squares we have the model

$$y = Z\delta + u$$
 with  $Euu' = \sigma^2 I$ 

but  $Z \not\perp u$ . This is resolved by the estimator,

$$\hat{\delta} = \operatorname{argmin} (y - Z\delta)' P_X(y - Z\delta) = (Z'P_XZ)^{-1}Z'P_Xy$$

where  $P_X = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$  is the projection onto the column space of the full set of available instrumental variables, X. Thus, here  $P_X$  plays somewhat the same role as  $\Omega^{-1}$  in the GLS problem.

This leads naturally to the question what should we do in single equation situations in which we have need of both 2SLS and GLS? COnsider the model

$$y = Z\delta + u$$
 with  $Euu' = \Omega$ 

and  $Z \not\perp u$ , but  $X \perp u$  as in the 2SLS case. Clearly, the 2SLS estimator is inefficient in this case and it is easy (please verify!) to show that

$$V(\hat{\delta}_{2SLS}) = (Z'P_XZ)^{-1}X'P_X\Omega P_XZ(Z'P_XZ)^{-1}$$

This is a particular form of "sandwich formula" which we gradually learn to associate with asymptotic covariance matrices which are inefficient. The efficient estimator for this situation is,

$$\check{\delta} = (Z'P_x^*Z)^{-1}Z'P_x^*y$$

where  $P_X^* = X(X'\Omega X)^{-1}X'$ . As a final exercise prove  $\operatorname{Var}(\check{\delta}) = Z'P_X^*Z)^{-1}$ . Note that  $P_X^*$  is not a projection matrix so we should regard  $\check{\delta}$  as a proper IV estimator, but not a proper 2SLS estimator. Hendry calls it a GIVE estimator, for generalized IV estimator.